1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
10	AT TACOMA	
11	ANTOLIN ANDREW MARKS	
12	Plaintiff,	Case No. C06-5696RBL/JKA
13	v.	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA et al.,	MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY
15 16	Defendants	
17	This Civil Rights/Bivens/ Federal Tort action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate	
18	Judge pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Before the court is defendant's motion to stay	
19	discovery until a pending motion to dismiss is ruled on (Dkt. # 29). Plaintiff has responded (Dkt. #	
20	31). Defendants show plaintiff does not need the discovery at issue to file a response to the motion	
21	to dismiss. In fact, plaintiff has already filed his response to defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. #	
22	27). Defendants argue the government should not be saddled with the burden and cost of answering	
23	the discovery when a motion to dismiss that has merit is pending.	
24	Defendants only ask that discovery be stayed until the motion to dismiss is decided.	
25	Plaintiff's response is to argue the same six events occur in each case he filed. He claims:	
26	Defendants are served with a compl      Defendants are served with discovery.	
27	2. Defendants are served with discover	ıy.
28	ORDER	

- 3. Defendants file a motion to dismiss.
- 4. The defendants fail to respond to discovery.
- 5. The motion to dismiss is denied.
- Defendants move for summary judgment and plaintiff is without the discovery he 6. needs to oppose the motion.

(Dkt. # 31 page 1).

The plaintiff's position does not address the motion to stay in any meaningful way. Before the court is a motion to dismiss on jurisdictional grounds and a request for an injunction limiting plaintiff's future filings (Dkt. # 24). The request to stay discovery is well taken and is **GRANTED.** 

There will be no further discovery until after the District Court Judge has entered a ruling on the motion to dismiss. No answers to outstanding discovery need be made until 20 days after a ruling by the District Court Judge on the pending motion to dismiss.

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and counsel and to remove Dkt. # 29 from the courts calendar.

DATED this 17 day of September 2007.

/S/ J. Kelley Arnold J. Kelley Arnold United States Magistrate Judge

**ORDER**